



## FIRST-YEAR SANSKRIT

#### AT THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO





# EXOCENTRIC<br/>COMPOUNDSbahuvrīhiḥ२०२०बहुवीहिः





This class of compounds is called "exocentric" because, as Pāṇini says, the compound is used "with reference to something else." One text of exocentricity is whether the **final word** of the compound describes the referent of the compound as a whole:

blackbeard(is NOT a beard  $\rightarrow$  exocentric)barefoot(is NOT a foot  $\rightarrow$  exocentric)





2.2.24

The Sanskrit term for these compounds is *bahuvrīhi*, which is an example of the type:

#### bahu-vrīhih

"much" "rice"

"much-rice"

describes a **person** who has a lot of rice, not a lot of rice!





One way to think of these compounds is as **adjectives**, which are similarly used "with reference to something else." But take note:

- *bahuvrīhi*s can be used substantively (without modifying a head noun)
- other types of compounds can be used as adjectives too (e.g., krṣṇaśabalam)





Since they modify an external head, they agree with that external head in **gender**, **number**, and **case**. This means that the compound as a whole may have a different **gender** than its final member would have on its own. This is an easy way to recognize *bahuvrīhi* compounds.





śāstram n. "text"

adhīta-śāstrah

m. one by whom the text has been studied

#### adhīta-śāstrā

f. one by whom the text has been studied





The *vigraha-vākyam* or analytic paraphrase of *bahuvrīhi* compounds always involves a **relative-correlative sentence**.

bahu-vrīhiḥ he of whom there is *much rice* kṛṣṇa-kūrcaḥ he of whom the beard is black





Note, however, that the **case** of the relative pronoun within the relative clause will vary depending on the relationship between the compound and its external head:

puspita-drumam[a garden] in which the trees are floweringjita-kāmah[a man] by whom desire has been vanquished





Just like endocentric compounds, we can classify exocentric compounds on the basis of whether the words refer to the same thing:

- se co-referential (*samānādhikaraņaḥ*) *bahuvrīhi*s
- sa non-co-referential (vyadhikaranah) bahuvrīhis

These relations are spelled out in the analytic paraphrase.





In a co-referential *bahuvrīhi*, the first word will usually be an adjectival modifer of the second word:

citra-guḥ

citrā · gauh · yasya · sah · [citraguh]

"He [is citraguh] of whom the cow is brindled."

"He [is citraguh] who has a brindled cow."





As this example shows, when an adjective comes first in a compound, the simplest version of the stem (usually the masculine/neuter stem) is used, even when it modifies a feminine noun.





A very important type is when the first word is a *Ktá*-form ("past absolutive participle"). The external head is usually the **agent** in these cases.

## jita-kāmaķ

kāmaķ · jitaķ · yēna · saķ · [jitakāmaķ]

"He [is *jitakāmaḥ*] **by whom** desire has been vanquished."





Since it can sometimes be difficult to distinguish *tatpuruṣaḥ* and *bahuvrīhiḥ* compounds, a good rule of thumb is that a compound whose first member is a *ta*-participle is probably a *bahuvrīhiḥ*.

jñāta-samācāraķ

"one by whom the news has been found out"

#### bhukta-ōdanaḥ

"one by whom the rice has been eaten"





Once again, the compound can stand in any caserelation to its external head:

puṣpita-drumam

drumāķ · puspitāķ · yasmin · tat · [puspitadrumam]

"That [is *puṣpitadrumam*] in which the trees are flowering."





In some *bahuvrīhi* compounds, the two members are not coreferential, but their relation is expressed by cases:

cakra-hastaḥ

cakrah · hastē · yasya · sa · [cakrahastah]

"He [is *cakrahastaḥ*] *in whose* hand there is a discus."





*Samāsāntaḥ* (*pratyayaḥ*) refers to a suffix that comes at the end of a compound. Generally we just use the stem of the final word, but in some cases we modify the stem slightly by adding a suffix.





#### 1. Feminine suffixes

As with any other nominal form, a masculine/neuter stem requires a feminine stem forming suffix if it is used with reference to a grammatically feminine head:

krśam · udaram · yasyāḥ · sā ... "She of whom the waist is thin is...





#### 1. Feminine suffixes

As with any other nominal form, a masculine/neuter stem requires a feminine stem forming suffix if it is used with reference to a grammatically feminine head:

kr̥śam · udaram · yasyāḥ · sā ... "She of whom the waist is thin is...

kŗśōdarī (kŗśōdara + ŊīP)





#### 2. Svārthe kaķ

The suffix *-ka*- can always be used to make it easier (or in some cases possible) to inflect a word in a different gender.

vipulā · śrīḥ · yasyaḥ · saḥ ...

"He of whom the majesty is abundant is ...





#### 2. Svārthe kaķ

The suffix *-ka*- can always be used to make it easier (or in some cases possible) to inflect a word in a different gender.

vipulā · śrīḥ · yasyaḥ · saḥ ... "He of whom the majesty is abundant is ...

vipulaśrīkaķ





#### 2. Svārthe kaķ

The suffix *-ka*- can always be used to make it easier (or in some cases possible) to inflect a word in a different gender.

anyatra · manaḥ · yasyaḥ · saḥ ... "He whose mind is elsewhere is ..."





#### 2. Svārthe kaķ

The suffix *-ka*- can always be used to make it easier (or in some cases possible) to inflect a word in a different gender.

anyatra · manaḥ · yasyaḥ · saḥ ... "He whose mind is elsewhere is ..."

anyamanaskah





As noted previously, it can sometimes be difficult to distinguish *tatpuruṣaḥ* and *bahuvrīhiḥ* compounds. Technically they generally have different accents, but everyone (except Vedic scholars and Pāṇinians) ignores accents.





अहं च त्वं च राजेन्द्र लोकनाथावुभावपि । बहुव्रीहिरहं राजन् षष्ठीतत्पुरुषो भवान् ॥





अहं च त्वं च राजेन्द्र लोकनाथावुभावपि । बहुव्रीहिरहं राजन् षष्ठीतत्पुरुषो भवान् ॥

aham · ca · tvam · ca · rājēndra · lōkanāthau · ubhau · api ~





अहं च त्वं च राजेन्द्र लोकनाथावुभावपि । बहुव्रीहिरहं राजन् षष्ठीतत्पुरुषो भवान् ॥

aham · ca · tvam · ca · rājēndra · lōkanāthau · ubhau · api ~

You and I, king, are both *lokanatha*.





अहं च त्वं च राजेन्द्र लोकनाथावुभावपि । बहुव्रीहिरहं राजन् षष्ठीतत्पुरुषो भवान् ॥

 $aham \cdot ca \cdot tvam \cdot ca \cdot r\bar{a}ja \cdot indra \cdot l\bar{o}ka \cdot n\bar{a}thau \cdot ubhau \cdot api \sim bahu \cdot vr\bar{i}hih \cdot aham \cdot r\bar{a}jan \cdot sasth\bar{i} \cdot tat - purus\bar{o} \cdot bhavan \sim \sim$ 

You and I, king, are both *lokanatha*.





अहं च त्वं च राजेन्द्र लोकनाथावुभावपि । बहुव्रीहिरहं राजन् षष्ठीतत्पुरुषो भवान् ॥

 $aham \cdot ca \cdot tvam \cdot ca \cdot r\bar{a}ja \cdot indra \cdot l\bar{o}ka \cdot n\bar{a}thau \cdot ubhau \cdot api \sim bahu \cdot vr\bar{i}hih \cdot aham \cdot r\bar{a}jan \cdot sasth\bar{i} \cdot tat - purus\bar{o} \cdot bhav\bar{a}n \sim$ 

You and I, king, are both *lōkanātha*. But in my case it's a *bahuvrīhiḥ*, and in your case, it's a *ṣaṣṭhītatpuruṣaḥ*.





The story goes that Tvaṣṭr performed a ritual in order to produce a demon, Vr̥tra, that would kill his enemy, Indra. When making the crucial oblation he said:

índraśatru<br/>h $\cdot$ vardhasva $\cdot$ 





The word *indraśatru*- can be either a *tatpuruṣaḥ* or a *bahuvrīhiḥ* compound. As a *tatpuruṣaḥ*, it means:

indrasya · śatruh ·

"slayer of Indra"

As a *bahuvrīhiḥ*, it means:

*indra*<sup>h</sup> · *śatru*<sup>h</sup> · *yasya* · *sa*<sup>h</sup> "he of whom the slayer is Indra"





They also differ in their accentuation:

*indraśatrú*h tatpurusah

*índraśatru*h bahuvrīhih





